

January 19, 1995

self-discipline, if we don't have consideration for one another points of view on the floor, no rule will take care of that. No rule will substitute for that, and I think Senator Will and the Rules Committee fully understand that and appreciate that, and it is not because we think that a rule can do that that any of these changes are proposed. I'd like to thank the body for their indulgence and their patience as we have waded through a mundane and sometimes boring topic; I think the pictures that are on our desks this morning from Senator Bernard-Stevens of the new senators and their expressions captures it all when it comes to discussion on rules, and I think that's an excellent handout. I'd like to thank those who participated in the debate for raising the points and focusing on words that are important when it comes to things like this. A question always comes to mind, I think, why do we have to do this, why do we need these rules, and I wish we didn't. But when we get down to the nitty-gritty, the end of the session, when the rubber hits the road, when we are at an impasse, we are going to have to turn to some things like this to try to unclog, unclog the situation that we are in, and that's why we have to have the rules. I do disagree that we should get to the point where the spirit of the rule succumbs to the goal to be achieved. I don't subscribe to that, and I would pledge to Senator Chambers that if he points out that we are compromising in that respect, that we are succumbing the rule, the spirit of the rule to get to the goal to be achieved, that I will stand and rise in support of opposition to that, and that time will probably come. I don't dispute that. In closing, what I should have probably just done instead of making those comments is to remind the body, and probably you are all aware of what we did. There were a number of different things most of which were rather housekeeping in nature. We did adopt the rules pertaining to portable phones and that sort of thing, clarification of roll call votes, several other clarifications. The ones that are probably of most sweeping effect would be the rule on the dilatory matters, the rules with respect to the amendments being divided and subject to germaneness objections. We clarified that, and that's important.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: I won't go through each of those in detail. I'd urge the body to support these rules so we can get onto the rest of the business of the Legislature. Thank you for your attention these last couple of days.